



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,920 11/15/2001		Klaus Brandt	00216-562001 / Case 8095	5086	
26161 75	590 05/22/2003				
FISH & RICH	IARDSON PC	EXAMINER			
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	Q.	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	υ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						ide			
49		Ар	plication No.		Applicant(s)	V			
•		10	0/002,920		BRANDT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Ex	aminer		Art Unit				
		Hw	/ei-Siu C. Payer		3724				
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears	on the cover shee	t with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- te period for reply specified above is less than thirty O period for reply is specified above, the maximum solure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will apply will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, ma n the statutory minimum of oly and will expire SIX (6) N e the application to becom	y a reply be time thirty (30) days MONTHS from t e ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s)	iled on <u>4-4-200</u>	<u>3</u> .						
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10,18-27</u> ,	34,35 and 38-4	<u>7</u> is/are withdrawn	from consid	deration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 36 is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,30-33,37 and 48-50</u> is/are rejected.								
7)[	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,11-17,28 and 29</u> is/are objected to.								
8)[	,	iction and/or ele	ction requirement.						
	tion Papers								
, —	The specification is objected to by the		_						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 20</u>								
	Applicant may not request that any ol								
11)	The proposed drawing correction file	· <del></del>		_l disappro	ved by the Examin	ier.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
,—	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examir	ner.						
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
а	) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
*	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Intel See the attached detailed Office acti	national Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a	<b>))</b> .		Stage			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisiona	I application).			
	<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign land</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim</li> </ul>		· •						
Attachme	nt(s)								
2) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice	of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				
S. Patent and	Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/002,920

Art Unit: 3724

١.

Page 2

**Detailed Action** 

Applicant's election without traverse of species IV in Paper No. 7 is

acknowledged.

**Drawings Objection** 

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show

every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the heat transfer fins

(cited in claim 30) must be shown or the feature canceled from the claim. No new

matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the

Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings

will not be held in abeyance.

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 4,

reference numeral "24" is not shown in any drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/002,920

Art Unit: 3724

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is not understood exactly how the fins enhance heat transfer to and from the phase change material.

## Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8, 30, 33 and 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (1) In claim 8, "a sufficient quantity" is vague and indefinite. Exactly how much is "a sufficient quantity"?
  - (2) In claim 30, "the cartridge" has no antecedent basis.
  - (3) In claim 33, "the user's skin" lacks clear antecedent basis.
  - (4) In claim 48, "the skin" has no antecedent basis."
  - (5) In claim 50, "the user" lacks clear antecedent basis.

## Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 31, 32, 37, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Conill (U.S. Patent No. 2,225,257).

Conill discloses a razor comprising a handle (1), a head/housing (2,12) mounted on the handle (1), a razor blade (17) mounted in the head/housing (2,12), a phase change material (8) within the head/housing (2,12), and a thermally conductive material (7) positioned adjacent the phase change material (8) to enhance thermal energy transfer to and from the phase change material (8) as claimed.

## Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conill (U.S. Patent No. 2,225,257).

Application/Control Number: 10/002,920

Art Unit: 3724

Conill's razor as set forth shows all the claimed structure except it does not specifically mention the melting point of the phase change material (8).

However, it is inherent Conill's phase change material (8) has a melting point of between about 30 to 50 degrees C, since the razor head is to be used under hot tap water (i.e. about 40-50 degrees C).

The claimed discharging/recharging time for the razor is not patentably distinct over Conill, since the discharging/recharging time depends more upon the melting temperature and the quantity of the phase change material than on any inventive concept.

3. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conill (U.S. Patent No. 2,225,257) in view of Motta et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,692,986).

Conill's razor as set forth shows all the claimed structure except it lacks a lubricant strip.

Motta et al. show a razor (11) comprising a lubricant strip (17) on the razor head (13).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Conill by providing the razor head (2,12) with a lubricant strip thereon for facilitating shaving comfort as taught by Motta et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,920 Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

**Indication of Allowable Subject Matter** 

1. Claims 9, 11-17, 28, 29, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

2. Claims 30 and 50 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

3. Claim 36 is allowed.

**Point of Contact** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 703-308-

1405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302

for official communications and 703-746-3293 for proposed amendments.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

H Payer May 14, 2003

Hwel-Siu Payer
Primary Examiner